ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9 1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698 Phone: (510) 412-2300; Fax: (510) 412-2304.

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Lynda Deschambault, Remedial Project Manager

Site Cleanup Section 1, SFD-7-1

THROUGH:

Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)

Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3

FROM:

Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager

Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)

ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041

Technical Direction Form No.: 00405051

DATE:

April 23, 2009

SUBJECT:

Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

Site:

Omega Chem OU2

Site Account No.:

09 BC QB02

CERCLIS ID NO.:

CAD042245001

Case No.:

38274

SDG No.:

Y4N51

Laboratory:

Mitkem Laboratories (MITKEM)

Analysis:

1,4-Dioxane (Semivolatile)

Samples:

18 Ground Water Samples (see Case Summary)

Collection Date:

March 2 through 5, 2009

Reviewer:

Santiago Lee, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants (LDC)

RF

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc:

Jennie Han-Liu, CLP PO USEPA Region 1

Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9

CLP PO: [] Attention

[] Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: [] Yes

[X] No

Data Validation Report - Tier 3

Case No.: 38274 SDG No.: Y4N51

Site: Omega Chem OU2 Laboratory: Mitkem Laboratories

Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC

Date: April 23, 2009

I. CASE SUMMARY

Sample Information

Samples: Y4N51 through Y4N53, Y4N55 through Y4N62,

Y4N64 through Y4N66, Y4N68 through Y4N70, and

Y4N73

Concentration and Matrix: Low Concentration Water

Analysis: 1,4-Dioxane (Semivolatile)

SOW: SOM01.2 and Modified Analysis 1679.2

Collection Date: March 2 through 5, 2009 Sample Receipt Date: March 3 through 6, 2009 Extraction Date: March 5 and 6, 2009

Analysis Date: March 9, 2009

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Not Provided Equipment Blanks (EB): Not Provided Background Samples (BG): Not Provided

Field Duplicates (D1): Y4N60 and Y4N61

1aboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:

SBLK4E: Y4N51 through Y4N53, Y4N55 through Y4N61

SBLK4F: Y4N62, Y4N64 through Y4N66, Y4N68 through

Y4N70, Y4N73

Tables

1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications

1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review

CLP PO Action

None.

CLP PO Attention

None.

Sampling Issues

None.

Additional Comments

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) analysis was not required. Consequently, matrix-specific accuracy and precision could not be evaluated.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages;
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.1, May 2005;
- Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2, Amended April 11, 2007; and
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, July 2007.

II. VALIDATION SUMMARY

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

•	Parameter	Acceptable	Comment
1.	Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
2.	GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	
3.	Initial Calibration	Yes	
4.	Continuing Calibration Verification	Yes	
5.	Laboratory Blanks	Yes	
6.	Field Blanks	N/A	
7.	Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	Yes	
8.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	N/A	
['] 9.	Laboratory Control Samples/Duplicate	N/A	-
10.	Internal Standards	Yes	
11.	Compound Identification	Yes	
12.	Compound Quantitation	Yes	Α
13.	System Performance	Yes	
14.	Field Duplicate Sample Analysis	Yes	

N/A = Not Applicable

III. VALIDITY AND COMMENTS

- A. The following results, denoted with an "L" qualifier, are estimated and flagged "J" in Table 1A.
 - All detected results below the contract required quantitation limits

Results below the contract required quantitation limits (CRQLs) are considered to be qualitatively acceptable, but quantitatively unreliable, due to the uncertainty in analytical precision near the limit of detection.

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Case No.: 38274 SDG No.: Y4N51

Site: OMEGA CHEM OU2 Lab: MITKEM LABORATORIES

Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC

Date: 04/23/09

Table 1A

QUALIFIED DATA

Concentration in ug/L

Analysis Type :

Low Level Water Samples

Page 1 of 1

for Semivolatiles

Station Location:	MW3			MW7			MW11			MW21			1	•		2			
Sample ID :	Y4N51			Y4N52			Y4N53			Y4N55			Y4N56			Y4N57			
Collection Date:	3/2/2009			3/2/2009			3/2/2009			3/2/2009			3/3/2009			3/3/2009			
Dilution Factor :	1.0	1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0		
Semivolatiles	Result	Val	Com																
1,4-Dioxane	2.0U	U		2.0U	٥		2.0U	U		1.6L	J	Α	2.3			0.59L	J	Ã	

Station Location:	3			4			5			6			7			9			
Sample ID :	Y4N58			Y4N59			Y4N60 D1			Y4N61 D1			Y4N62			Y4N64			
Collection Date :	3/3/2009			3/3/2009			3/3/2009			3/3/2009	3/3/2009			3/3/2009			3/4/2009		
Dilution Factor :	1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0			
Semivolatiles	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Vai	Com	
1,4-Dioxane	2.0U	U		2.0U	U		2.00	U	_	2.0U	U	f	2.4			34			

Station Location :	10			11	_		13			14	15				18				
Sample ID :	Y4N65			Y4N66			Y4N68			Y4N69			Y4N70			Y4N73			
Collection Date :	3/4/2009			3/4/2009			3/5/2009			3/5/2009			3/5/2009				3/5/2009		
Dilution Factor :	1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0			1	.0			1.0		
Semivolatiles	Result	Val	Com	Resu	lt	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com									
1,4-Dioxane	17			2.8			1.4L	J	Α	2.0U	O			14			0.73L	J	Α

ſ	Station Location :	Method Bia	ank		Method Bla	ink						,							
	Sample ID :	SBLK4E			SBLK4F			CRQL											
	Collection Date :													*		~			
	Dilution Factor :	1.0	1.0			1.0													
	Semivolatiles	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com
1,	4-Dioxane	2.0U	U		2.0U	C		2.0				l							

Val - Validity. Refer to Data Qualifiers in Table 1B.

Com - Comments. Refer to the Corresponding Section in the Narrative for each letter.

CRQL - Contract Required Quantitation Limit

N/A - Not Applicable

NA - Not Analyzed

D1, D2, etc. - Field Duplicate Pairs

FB - Field Blank, EB - Equipment Blank,

TB - Trip Blank, BG - Background Sample

TABLE 1B

DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," January 2005.

- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.
- L Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.
- The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).
- NJ The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.
- UJ The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
- R The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.